

REGIONAL ROUNDTABLE MEETING

FEBRUARY 23, 2009

2009 SWRPA Housing Summit:

Affordable/Workforce Housing in Small & Medium Sized Connecticut Towns

April 14, 2009

Darien Town Hall

2 Renshaw Rd., Darien, CT 06820

A shift from all the attention that large cities receive to a focus on the good work of small and medium sized towns to meet this important regional goal.

8:30 AM - 9:15 AM

Registration & Continental Breakfast

9:15 AM - 9:25 AM

Greetings & Introduction

Hon. Evonne Klein, 1st Selectwoman, Darien
Paul Settelmeyer, Chairman, SWRPA

9:25 AM - 10:00 AM

Keynote Presentation

Hon. Jim Himes, Congressman, Connecticut 4th District (invited)

10:00 AM - 11:30 AM

Panel Discussion: Affordable/Workforce Housing in CT Towns

Hon. Gordon Joseloff, 1st Selectman, Westport
Hon. Evonne Klein, 1st Selectwoman, Darien
Stuart Adelberg, Greenwich United Way
Tim Hollister, Shipman & Goodwin
Seila Mosquera, NeighborWorks New Horizons

Moderator: Chris Bruhl, The Business Council of Fairfield County

11:30 AM - 12:00 PM

Question, Answer and Comment Session

Attachment 2

Army Corps of Engineers Reconnaissance Study for Fairfield County

Over the past few years Fairfield County has seen an increase in seasonal flooding. It is likely that the region will continue experience severe flooding, and will see more flood events similar in magnitude to those seen in April 2007. The Army Corps of Engineer's (ACOE) Civil Works Program has established a two phase study process that would evaluate flooding in the county's waterways. During the first phase a reconnaissance study would be conducted of the rivers and streams in Fairfield County, to determine whether there is a federal interest in participation in an additional feasibility study for the area. The reconnaissance study would be 100% federally funded (\$100,000) and would assist municipalities in identifying problem areas and aid local officials in the prevention further flood damage.

The study would holistically look at the county's rivers and streams to identify flood hazards, provide a list of locations with a high potential for flooding, and analyze possible solutions. Once the reconnaissance study is complete, if the ACOE concludes further action is needed to address flooding in the region, a more extensive feasibility study would be conducted. If a feasibility study is pursued the cost would be shared between the ACOE and affected municipalities. The feasibility study would further investigate the cause of flooding and identify specific solutions to minimize risk and property damage.

In the spring 2008 a request was made to former Congressman Shays to have an ACOE reconnaissance study conducted in Fairfield County. The request was made after the release of the Draft Reconnaissance Study for Westchester County, New York, in February 2008. The former congressman held a public information meeting with representatives from the New York and New England ACOE field offices to discuss a study for the region. However, the appropriate resolution was not introduced to congress during the 2008 session and no study was initiated.

The South Western Regional Planning Agency and a number of local officials have expressed their desire to have a study completed. In order to have a Reconnaissance Study conducted for Fairfield County a resolution is required to authorize funding. Below is the study authorization from the Westchester County Reconnaissance Study conducted in 2007, and a copy of the authorizing resolution from the Senate Public Works Committed is attached.

SECTION 905(b) RECONNAISSANCE STUDY¹

WESTCHESTER COUNTY STREAMS, WESTCHESTER COUNTY, NEW YORK

1. STUDY AUTHORITY

a. This Section 905(b) (WRDA 1986) Analysis was prepared as an initial response to the Resolution of the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, Docket 2779, dated May 2nd, 2007, which reads as follows:

Resolved by the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the United States House of Representatives, That the Secretary of the Army review the report of the Chief of Engineers on the Streams in Westchester County, New York, and the Mamaroneck and Sheldrake Rivers Basin and Byram River Basin, New York and Connecticut published as House Document 98-112, and other pertinent reports on the Hutchinson, Mamaroneck and Sheldrake Rivers to determine whether modifications to the recommendations contained therein are advisable at the present time in the interest of water resources development, including flood damage reduction, storm damage reduction, environmental restoration, navigation, watershed management, water supply, and other allied purposes.

b. Funds in the amount of \$100,000 were appropriated in Fiscal Year 2007 to conduct the Reconnaissance phase of the study.

¹ Army Corps of Engineers. 2008. Draft Section 905(b) Reconnaissance Study Westchester County Streams, Westchester County, NY and Fairfield County, CT. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers New York District, New York, NY.

SECTION I

INTRODUCTION

The completion of the Long Island Sound Study (LISS) in 1975 by the New England River Basins Commission brought forth recommendations to investigate tidal flooding in several communities along the Connecticut coast, including Westport, Fairfield, and Stratford. The State of Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection (DEP), in a 1983 letter to the New England Division, Corps of Engineers also recommended new studies to delineate flood management alternatives for coastal areas, including the communities of Fairfield, Milford, West Haven, New Haven, and East Haven. The City of Bridgeport was later added for a total of eight communities along the west central Connecticut coast from Westport to East Haven to be studied.

This reconnaissance study conducted a preliminary evaluation of flood damage areas within these eight communities to determine if there is a Federal and State interest in the implementation of tidal flood damage reduction measures. This study included evaluation of different combinations of non-structural and structural techniques for flood damage reduction.

STUDY AUTHORITY

This study is authorized by a Resolution of the Senate Public Works Committee, adopted 22 September 1970, which states:

"That the Board of Engineers for Rivers and Harbors, created under Section 3 of the River and Harbor Act approved June 13, 1902, be and is hereby requested to review the report on the Land and Water Resources of the New England-New York Region, transmitted to the President of the United States by the Secretary of the Army on April 27, 1956, and subsequently published as Senate Document Numbered 14, Eighty-fifth Congress, with a view to determining the advisability of improvements in Long Island Sound, New York and Connecticut in the interest of flood control, navigation and related purposes with due consideration for enhancing the quality of the environment."

PURPOSE AND SCOPE

To provide a planning and management tool for the development of alternative solutions to reduce tidal-flood damage, this report considers the desirability of implementing recommendations contained in previous reports and/or adopting further measures for reducing the potential for tidal-flood loss. As the beginning of a two-phase planning process this report identified the problems, needs, opportunities, and potential solutions. It determined the significance of existing or potential tidal-flood damage, the views of local interests concerning further investigation and whether further Federal participation is warranted.

This reconnaissance study phase provides a basis for to evaluating the merits of continuing into the feasibility (second) phase.



U.S. Department of Commerce
Economic Development Administration

(EDA)

COMPREHENSIVE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT STRATEGIES CEDS SUMMARY OF REQUIREMENTS



Note: This document provides a synopsis of the requirements for comprehensive economic development strategies. For further information, interested parties are directed to section 302 of the Public Works and Economic Development Act of 1965 (42 U.S.C. § 3162) and EDA's regulations at 13 C.F.R. part 303. The document is intended to serve as a convenient source for requirements relating to the CEDS. Nothing in this document is intended to supersede or otherwise modify EDA's statute, regulations, policies or procedures.

Introduction: A comprehensive economic development strategy (CEDS) is designed to bring together the public and private sectors in the creation of an economic roadmap to diversify and strengthen regional economies. The CEDS should analyze the regional economy and serve as a guide for establishing regional goals and objectives, developing and implementing a regional plan of action, and identifying investment priorities and funding sources. A CEDS integrates a region's human and physical capital planning in the service of economic development. Integrated economic development planning provides the flexibility to adapt to global economic conditions and fully utilize the region's unique advantages to maximize economic opportunity for its residents by attracting the private investment that creates jobs for the region's residents. A CEDS must be the result of a continuing economic development planning process developed with broad-based and diverse public and private sector participation, and must set forth the goals and objectives necessary to solve the economic development problems of the region and clearly define the metrics of success. Finally, a CEDS provides a useful benchmark by which a regional economy can evaluate opportunities with other regions in the national economy.

Who should develop a CEDS? A Planning Organization seeking to formulate and implement a regional economic development program will benefit from developing a CEDS. Successful economic development efforts are based on CEDS that provide an economic roadmap to diversify and strengthen regional economies. The Public Works and Economic Development Act of 1965, as amended (PWEDA), requires a CEDS in order to apply for investment assistance under EDA's Public Works or Economic Adjustment Assistance Programs. At EDA's discretion, EDA may accept CEDS that it has funded or CEDS prepared independently of EDA investment assistance or oversight.

The following sections set out below on "Planning Organizations" and "Strategy Committees" cover the requirements for EDA-funded CEDS, while the remainder of this document pertains to technical requirements for CEDS. *It should be noted that in determining the acceptability of a CEDS prepared independently of EDA investment assistance or oversight for projects under 13 C.F.R. parts 305 or 307, EDA may in its discretion determine that the CEDS is acceptable without it fulfilling every requirement set out in 13 C.F.R. § 303.7. In doing so, EDA shall consider the circumstances surrounding the application for investment assistance, including emergencies or natural disasters, and the fulfillment of the requirements of Section 302 of PWEDA.*

A. EDA-funded CEDS

Pursuant to 13 C.F.R. § 303.6, if EDA awards Investment Assistance to a Planning Organization to develop, revise, or replace a CEDS, the Planning Organization must follow the procedures set forth in paragraphs A.1 and A.2.

1. Planning Organization: A Planning Organization (as defined in 13 C.F.R. § 303.2), typically an Economic Development District (EDD) or Indian Tribe, may be eligible for EDA planning investment assistance. The purpose of such assistance is to develop a CEDS for a specific EDA-approved region. The Planning Organization is responsible for:

- Appointing a Strategy Committee (CEDS Committee);
- Developing and submitting to EDA a CEDS that complies with 13 C.F.R. § 303.7;
- Making a new or revised CEDS available for review and comment by the public for a period of at least thirty (30) days prior to submission of the CEDS to EDA;
- Obtaining approval of the CEDS from EDA;
- After obtaining approval of the CEDS, submitting to EDA an updated CEDS performance report annually. The performance report, in addition to reporting progress on CEDS implementation, should also discuss community and private sector participation in the CEDS effort. Any performance report that results in a change in the technical components of the EDA-approved CEDS must be available for review and comment by the public for a period of at least thirty (30) days prior to submission of the performance report to EDA;
- Submitting a copy of the CEDS to any Regional Commission if any part of the EDA-approved EDD region is covered by that Commission;
- Submitting a new CEDS to EDA at least every five (5) years, unless EDA or the Planning Organization determines that a new CEDS is required earlier due to changed circumstances.

2. Strategy Committee: The Strategy Committee is the entity identified by the Planning Organization as responsible for developing, revising, or replacing the CEDS. The Strategy Committee **must represent the main economic interests** of the region, and **must include Private Sector Representatives** (defined in 13 C.F.R. § 300.3, with respect to any for-profit enterprise, as any senior management official or executive holding a key decision making position, or that person's designee) as a majority of its membership. In addition, the Planning Organization should ensure that the Strategy Committee also includes:

- Public officials;
- Community leaders;
- Representatives of workforce development boards;
- Representatives of institutions of higher education;
- Minority and labor groups; and
- Private individuals.

Strategy Committees representing Indian Tribes or States may vary.

B. Technical Requirements

Pursuant to 13 C.F.R. § 303.7, a Planning Organization must include the following information in a CEDS submitted to EDA.--

1. Background: The CEDS must contain a background of the economic development situation of the region that paints a realistic picture of the current condition of the region. This background must include a discussion of the economy, population, geography, workforce development and use, transportation access, resources, environment, and other pertinent information.

2. Analysis of Economic Development Problems and Opportunities: The CEDS must include an in-depth analysis of the economic development problems and opportunities that identifies strengths and weaknesses in the regional makeup of human and economic assets, and problems and opportunities posed by external and internal forces affecting the regional economy. This analysis must:

- Incorporate relevant material from other government-sponsored or supported plans and demonstrate consistency with applicable State and local workforce investment strategies.
- Identify past, present, and projected future economic development investments in the region.
- Identify and analyze **economic clusters** within the region.

3. CEDS Goals and Objectives -- Defining Regional Expectations: The CEDS must contain a section setting forth goals and objectives necessary to solve the economic problems, or capitalize on the resources, of the region. Any strategic project, program, or activity identified in the CEDS should work to fulfill these goals and objectives.

- Goals are broad, primary regional expectations.
- Objectives are more specific than goals, clearly measurable, and stated in realistic terms considering what can be accomplished over the five (5) year time frame of the CEDS.

4. Community and Private Sector Participation: The CEDS must include a section discussing the relationship between the community in general and the private sector in the development and implementation of the CEDS. Public and private sector partnerships are critical to the implementation of the CEDS.

5. Strategic Projects, Programs and Activities: The CEDS must contain a section which identifies regional projects, programs and activities designed to implement the Goals and Objectives of the CEDS. This section should identify and describe:

Suggested Projects-

- All suggested projects, programs and activities and the projected number of jobs to be created as a result.
- Lead organizations responsibilities for execution of the projects.

Vital Projects- A prioritization of vital projects, programs, and activities that address the region's greatest needs or that will best enhance the region's competitiveness, including sources of funding for past and potential future investments. These can be overarching "themes" for regional economic development success and is expected to include components. Funding sources should not be limited to EDA programs.

6. CEDS Plan of Action: The plan of action, as described in the CEDS, implements the goals and objectives of the CEDS in a manner that-

- Promotes economic development and opportunity;
- Fosters effective transportation access;
- Enhances and protects the environment;
- Maximizes effective development and use of the workforce consistent with any applicable State or local workforce investment strategy;
- Promotes the use of technology in economic development, including access to high-speed telecommunications;
- Balances resources through sound management of physical development; and
- Obtains and utilizes adequate funds and other resources.

The CEDS must also contain a section that discusses the methodology for cooperating and integrating the CEDS with a State's economic development priorities.

7. Performance Measures: The CEDS must contain a section that lists the performance measures used to evaluate the Planning Organization's successful development and implementation of the CEDS, including but not limited to the:

- Number of jobs created after implementation of the CEDS;
- Number and types of investments undertaken in the region;
- Number of jobs retained in the region;
- Amount of private sector investment in the region after implementation of the CEDS; and
- Changes in the economic environment of the region.

These are not meant to be the only performance measures for the CEDS. Most Planning Organizations developing a CEDS will benefit from developing additional quantitative and qualitative measures that will allow them to evaluate progress toward achieving the goals identified as important in their regions.